

024560-4/29

Approved For Release 2002/06/10 : CIA-RDP63-00309A000100020021-1

Document No. \_\_\_\_\_

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NO CHANGE in Class. ☐

☐ DECLASSIFIED

~~D-R-A-F-T~~

Class. CHANGED TO: TS S **C**

DDA Memo, 4 Apr 77

18 November 1960

Auth: DDA REG. 77/1763

Date: 01 FEB 1978 By: \_\_\_\_\_

MEMORANDUM FOR: Inspector General

SUBJECT : Deputy Director (Support) Response to the  
Inspector General's Survey of the CIA  
Training Program, August 1960

1. The Inspector General's Survey of the CIA Training Program, August 1960 has been studied by the Chiefs of Staffs and Offices of the Deputy Director (Support). Their general reaction, and mine, is that this very comprehensive and instructive survey of CIA training policies and practices is both timely and useful. Many of the points that it raises are not new, but this Survey provides us an opportunity to re-examine them. I should like first to make some general observations affecting our Agency training program as a whole and then, in individual attachments--Tabs 1 through 35 inclusive--to present our comments on the specific recommendations made in the Survey. I have chosen not to comment, however, on the recommendations concerning Project [ ] and the [ ]

25X1A2d1

25X1A8a

2. My general observations are as follows. While each subject is discussed in the Survey, I believe that they deserve special emphasis.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Approved For Release 2002/06/10 : CIA-RDP63-00309A000100020021-1  
S-E-C-R-E-T

a. Agency Training--"Permissive" or "Planned"?

Basic to planning, programing, and staffing our Agency training program are answers by command and management to this question: "Who shall be trained in what knowledge or skills, when, and for what purpose?"

Our present and not inconsiderable array of training--formal OTR courses, component-conducted instruction, on-the-job training, and external training--represents an energetic response to specific training requirements. But at the same time, as the Inspector General points out, enrollments in some courses have been irregular and courses have had to be canceled or suspended for lack of sufficient numbers of students.

There are apparent differences of opinion as to the need for various levels of training. For example, some of our new professionals (JOT's) receive intensive and comprehensive basic training before going into on-the-job training; others (junior economists for ORR) receive only a short orientation but not basic intelligence training to complement the substantive knowledge acquired in college and elsewhere. As a further example, the

Inspector General strongly recommends the establishment of a mid-career training program, and OTR has had such a course on the drawing board; nevertheless, there has been no stated requirement for this level and type of training from the Deputy Directorates.

The Agency attitude toward participation in training also varies. While individual career services have set training standards related to positions, for the most part training has been permissive rather than planned or directed. To repeat, there are exceptions, but the net result is an uneven approach to training. The "five per cent in training" rule succeeded in bringing about a greater participation in training, to be sure, but even so, performance was uneven.

Lacking enforced or enforceable training standards, the individual supervisor determines what training shall be accomplished. A branch chief who is training-minded, with or without a five per cent rule, will make a strenuous effort to see that his personnel receive the maximum training. But another branch chief may take the position that the best--or the only--way to learn is by doing. A

proof of the inadequacy of the present system of permissive training policy is the sorry spectacle of instructors and training liaison officers having to drum up candidates for courses which have been established in response to a Deputy Director's request.

For a planned or directed training policy, what should be our overall objectives? How much training do we need and how much can we afford, not only in terms of dollars but also of time? How can we make a planned system work? I am not sure that we or any other agency can arrive at the Answer, but I think we can make a start. And whatever we arrive at now, there must be continuing review of present and future requirements and of our methods for meeting them.

Our Answer to the problem of training must be tied in with our career service and career development policies and programs. It seems safe to assume that today's demands call for the development of generalists who have a comprehensive knowledge and understanding of Intelligence and Operations and are capable of directing and coordinating programs of broad scope, and experts who

can deal with detailed complexities and meet high professional standards in very specialized fields. And many of our generalists will also possess some specialized skills.

Next, we will no doubt continue to make allowance for lateral entries of very essential experts and technicians into our Agency at various levels, but we should look to our JOT Program as the primary source of new, young general professionals. There will continue to be a variety of opportunities for career advancement of both generalists and specialists, and for movement between "ladders of advancement" in order to fill the need for various combinations of skills--including general executive talents at the higher levels.

I suggest that we approach the problem of planned or directed training in three ways:

- (1) First, professionals should be assigned to general training courses at specified points during their careers--orientation and basic training, mid-career training, and senior officer training.

(2) Second, professionals should be assigned to language, area, and functional training courses on the basis of the training prerequisites for positions to which they are assigned.

(3) Third, professionals should be encouraged to continue their own personal intellectual interests and self-development with or without Agency sponsorship or assistance, as the individual case warrants.

I believe that such an approach is practicable with basically our present training structure. Where more drastic action is required, however, is in the establishment of training standards and prerequisites for specific positions--or tasks--and in the appointment, where this is not now the case, of responsible senior professionals as component or unit training officers. We can expect no marked improvement in our Agency training program so long as training is permissive.

b. The Junior Officer Training Concept

Our task is made simpler if we can reach agreement on a concept of orientation and basic training for our new, junior professionals.

I agree with the Inspector General in the concept of a single service in CIA--that is, in the self-identification of the professional as a CIA Intelligence Officer, whether he is assigned to the DD/P, DD/I, or DD/S as a generalist or as a specialist. And I believe that all new, junior professionals should be gotten off to the same start in their Agency careers in terms of their initial orientation, indoctrination, and familiarization with the business of Intelligence and CIA missions and functions.

On the other hand, I believe that we must stick to our present, proven JOTP concepts and practices and admit to the essential differences between JOT's and those other highly desirable young people who are employed because of their peculiar, specialized qualifications. We need both, and we need flexibility to attract, recruit, hire, and to train both for the variety of tasks to be performed.

To deal with this problem, and at the same time to protect the vital asset which our JOTP represents, we have formed a special task force comprised of the following officers:

OTR

Matthew Baird

25X1A9a

SSA-DD/S

O/Personnel

Emmett Echols

25X1A9a

DD/P

DD/I

This group will meet until the problem is resolved.

c. Rotational Assignments to OTR

I would like now to turn to the problem of the quality of OTR-conducted training and to the problem of selection and placement of JOT's. To deal with both of these, the Inspector General has recommended the establishment of certain new boards and panels comprised of representatives of the Deputy Directorates. While undoubtedly these could serve a useful purpose, I really do not believe that these measures are as necessary or as potentially effective as the rotational assignment of selected, experienced officers from the Deputy Directorates to OTR in regularly established positions as instructors, staff officers, and as training officers on the JOT Program Staff.



On the basis of long experience I have become convinced that a key factor in the development of more efficient instructors in the growing complexity of Agency skills and techniques is the rotational assignment of instructors. By this I mean a continuing exchange of personnel between OTR and the Components, particularly the Clandestine Services. Through such exchange, planned on a career development basis for the individuals concerned, and with individuals selected on the basis of qualifications, the Component officer brings to OTR his experience and expertise in his particular field and applies these to a related area of instruction. The OTR instructor, meanwhile, is brought up to date on current operating practices in his field and enriches his background experience and capabilities for his next tour as a career instructor. While the OTR instructor is serving with an operating component, he is expected to be and is a producing member of that component.

The matter of current, operational doctrine also is affected by the rotational assignment. No matter how much our system for obtaining operating doctrine is improved, its

translation into realistic training doctrine and effective instruction is made more positive if the instructor is personally experienced in the type of activity involved.

Similarly, communication between OTR and the Components is facilitated and OTR plans and programs are made more realistic if some of OTR's staff positions are filled by officers on rotational tours from the Components. The case of the JOTP staff is particularly important.

Training officers assigned to the JOTP Staff work under the close and continuing supervision of the Chief, JOTP who has long experience in this position. The training officer comes to know intimately the JOT's assigned him. He has access to their complete background files, he interviews them as applicants, recommends their acceptance or rejection. He briefs and counsels them after entry on duty, is their advisor during the training period, follows their progress through the courses of instruction, observes their attitudes and aptitudes, and recommends the direction their careers should take. He keeps in very close touch with career

service panels, divisions, and branches; recommends and assists in placement; and briefs both JOT and new supervisor when the JOT enters on-the-job training in the Component. If this training officer is representative of his Component, if he has the confidence of his Component and is carefully selected for this responsible assignment, he is in an ideal position to select candidates of the kind needed by his Component and to guide them effectively during their initial, critical training period. He can be far more effective than a panel dealing with files and reports.

The importance of this concept of exchange of personnel to the effective conduct of OTR's training responsibilities cannot be emphasized enough; it is OTR's life blood. On the one hand, it is the assurance of continuity and currency; on the other, it is the essential ingredient to the development of a career corps of experienced instructors and managers and planners of Agency training activities. Although this concept has appeared to be accepted and to be working successfully, I am deeply alarmed at the growing evidence that it will

not continue to the extent that it must if we are to have an improved training program.

d. The Role of the Director of Training

Finally, I should like to make a brief comment on the role of the Director of Training. As Director of Training, he is responsible directly to the Deputy Director (Support), and in this capacity he and his Office are immediately responsive to training requirements laid down by any office or Deputy Directorate of this Agency. He can, however, under existing regulations and in his present command relationship, perform an additional function, if called upon to do so. He can serve as the Director's staff officer for training. And in this capacity he can and wants very much to lend whatever advice, guidance, and suggestion his knowledge and experience afford to the serious problems of planning and improving our Agency Training Program.

As I stated in my opening remarks, there is little in this Survey that is new to me and to OTR. But so long as the Director of Training serves only as Director of the Office of Training and not also as the Agency's staff

officer for training, there is little he can do toward implementing the measures which seem vital to the significant improvement and further development of our Agency Training Program.

INSERT e.

3. In conclusion, the Survey has served to stimulate a renewed interest in training and to identify problems of mutual concern which need to be resolved if we are to continue to develop and to improve our training program. This program must clearly meet the present and future needs of the Agency and, at the same time, it must be in proper balance and perspective in terms of the funds and personnel devoted to it. I and my Staffs and Offices feel a particular responsibility for the development and implementation of such a program. We look forward to the follow-up Survey within an appropriate period of time.

L. K. WHITE  
Deputy Director (Support)

S-E-C-R-E-T

TAB 1

Recommendation: "The Directors of Personnel and Training together with representatives from operating components take a fresh look at the overall problem of clerical usage and make recommendations for a more effective system. This should be followed by an OTR reassessment of the clerical training program."

Concur. While within the DD/S area there is mixed reaction as to the degree of need for such a re-examination, it is agreed that it will be beneficial.

S-E-C-R-E-T

25X1A

Approved For Release 2002/06/10 : CIA-RDP63-00309A000100020021-1

Approved For Release 2002/06/10 : CIA-RDP63-00309A000100020021-1

TAB 3

Recommendation: "DTR adopt a three-year tour of duty as standard practice for instructors and schedule replacement at the rate of one-third of the instructor staff annually. (Primarily OS/TR)"

Concur. I agree with the Director of Training, however, that he must continue to make allowances for individual exceptions as to the length of tour of duty. The length of the individual tour is not as important as assurance of a planned, staggered turnover of instructor personnel.

Execution of such a planned rotation will call for wholehearted cooperation by the Components with the Office of Training in effecting a periodic exchange of personnel for rotational tours of duty.



TAB 4

Recommendation: "DTR redesignate his Overseas Training Staff to better reflect its expanding function as a clearing house for training doctrine; that its role as a depository and an editorial and coordination staff be negotiated with the Directorates and publicized, including preparation and dissemination of bibliographies under the various security limitations that may apply. "

I concur with the comment of the Director of Training, which is as follows:

"I recommend that the Overseas Training Branch be redesignated as the Operations Training Support Branch with a primary responsibility for training support and guidance to overseas, operational training activities, including the

25X1A6a

[redacted] and a secondary function for provision of specialized, training materials support to other

Operations School branches and to [redacted] It is agreed

25X1A6b

that we must ensure appropriate awareness [redacted]

25X1A

25X1A

[redacted] of the existence of useful training materials, but it

S-E-C-R-E-T

would be well not to overstate the present capabilities of this Branch. The Branch is functioning very effectively and producing at top capacity. Only by enlarging its T/O and by assigning additional, qualified personnel capable of a high standard of individual work can its functions and scope be expanded. At the same time, actions have been and are being taken to ensure that operating branches

25X1A

25X1A

are fully cognizant of the nature and scope of services available."

S-E-C-R-E-T

TAB 5

Recommendation: "Curator, Historical Intelligence Collection, collaborate with Chief, Operations School/OTR, to develop and publicize a working collection of open intelligence literature at  which will fully reflect the existence and capabilities of the principal collection at headquarters."

25X1A6b

The Director of Training, through the Chief, Operations School, OTR, has initiated action necessary to carry out the intent of this recommendation.

It might be noted here that OCR has been most cooperative in endeavoring to see to it that its Library is responsive to current training needs. OTR can offer nothing but praise in this regard.

TAB 6

Recommendation: "DTR experiment with the concept of a board of overseers composed of senior grade professional officers as a means to improved communication with and indoctrination of consumers, and to promote the development of more effective policies on curriculum and enrollment. "

While the Director of Training and I both are willing to experiment with the concept of a board of overseers, we are not convinced that such a board is necessary. We shall be interested in learning the views of the DD/P and DD/I.

S-E-C-R-E-T

TAB 7

Recommendation: "The DD/P establish in his office a position of DD/P Training and Doctrine Officer having responsibility and authority for the formulation and implementation of [ ] [ ] training policy and the development of operational doctrine."

25X1A

25X1A

I understand that the DD/P already has taken steps in this direction by appointing a full-time DD/P Training Officer. The Director of Training has indicated to me that he is fully in accord with this recommendation. The latter urges also that the DD/P Training Officer be supported by qualified, senior operations or intelligence officers designated as training officers in the several staffs and operating divisions.

S-E-C-R-E-T

TAB 8

RECOMMENDATION: "DD/P take appropriate steps to ensure that DTR be made a participant, through the presence of his representative or through other effective form of consultation, in all long-range planning for the employment or expansion of deep-cover operations."

Concur.

TAB 9

RECOMMENDATION: "The DTR confer with the Commanding Officers of the Army and Air Reserve units to see if more practical reserve training, e.g., International Communism, could be handled by OTR for the reservists."

Concur. OTR has been asked to participate with an existing committee of representatives of DD/P, DD/I, DD/S, and Chief/MMPD to discuss various aspects of OTR support of the Agency Military Reserve Training Program.

TAB 10

Recommendation: "The courses on International Communism be given wider publicity and offered to the personnel of other agencies."

I believe that this action may not be necessary. Pursuant to paragraph 8 of a memorandum from the Director of Training to the Director of Central Intelligence, dated 26 May 1956, subject: Establishment of School of International Communism, selected members of the SIC instructional staff already carry a heavy schedule of training for personnel of other Government agencies. Over half of the training accomplished by SIC is non-CIA staff. They participate regularly in courses at the Foreign Service Institute, Strategic Intelligence School, Naval Intelligence School, the Air University, and others. Any appreciable, additional workload will necessitate an expansion of the SIC staff. If, however, extended training support to the Intelligence Community in this subject is deemed necessary, we are willing to seek authorization for the necessary new positions and personnel.



TAB 11

Recommendation: "The DD/P instruct all supervisors to observe, in requesting language training, the principle that training in regular classes is the normal and most effective method, and that resort to tutorial training shall be had only in exceptional cases and where required by security considerations, unavoidable pressure of time, or other valid reason."

Concur.

TAB 12

Recommendation: "DCI issue instructions that Agency Regulations be amended by adding new provisions (a) directing the Deputy Directors to identify the categories of employees for whom specified degrees of language proficiency are required and to tie these standards of proficiency into promotion practices, and (b) directing the Deputy Directors to identify those positions, or that proportion of positions, in each overseas station that may be filled only by individuals who possess, to the degree specified, the language commonly used in the general area of that station."

Concur.

S-E-C-R-E-T

TAB 13

Recommendation: "DCI issue instructions that Agency Regulations be further amended to make language proficiency testing, according to Agency standards, mandatory for all employees who are required to have language skill."

Concur.

S-E-C-R-E-T

TAB 14

Recommendation: "DD/P direct that in all long-range operational planning the implications with respect to possible radical change in requirements as to the nature or extent of language capabilities be carefully considered and that the conclusions reached be regularly and promptly communicated to the DTR."

Concur.

TAB 15

Recommendation: "DD/P give clearer recognition to the necessity for developing in larger numbers than at the present rate linguistically qualified area specialists."

Concur.

TAB 16

Recommendation: "The Deputy Directors take such measures as may be necessary to cause all staff employees under their jurisdiction who claim language competence to submit to the Office of Training tests at the earliest practicable moment."

Concur.

S-E-C-R-E-T

TAB 17

Recommendation: "DD/P consider the advisability of placing directly on the division chiefs the responsibility for all scheduling of language training for personnel in the division and for monitoring the timely carrying out of the language training thus scheduled."

Concur.

S-E-C-R-E-T

TAB 18

Recommendation: "The DD/P, as well as the DD/I and DD/S, strongly recommend to their division chiefs, assistant directors and other senior officers that they familiarize themselves, through attendance thereat, with the contents of the Introduction to Overseas Effectiveness course."

While I strongly concur in the objective and intent of this recommendation, I feel that it may prove difficult for senior officers at this level actually to attend the course. As the Inspector General has stated, however, two groups of representative, senior officers already have attended the Introduction to Overseas Effectiveness course and have reported it to be useful and valuable. We believe it to be particularly pertinent to officers who have had Agency experience and who have or are assuming supervisory responsibilities.

Similar material related to specific countries or areas has been introduced into the short, Americans Abroad Orientation courses for personnel going overseas to a particular area for the first time. These orientations are receiving broader acceptance and are available now for some 40 areas.



The Director of Security especially endorses training in overseas effectiveness because of the definite security implications of personal adjustment and behavior overseas--not to mention operational factors--and for this reason alone, attendance of this type of orientation and indoctrination should be very strongly encouraged or even made mandatory.

Further, the Office of Training is looking into the utility of adopting lessons from the behavioral sciences into tradecraft (operational) training. It also is studying the problem of overseas effectiveness training for deep cover, U. S. personnel.

TAB 19

Recommendation: "The DCI establish as Agency policy that all junior professional officers enter Agency employ through the JOTP."

I am in complete sympathy with the apparent objective of promoting the concept of the professional Intelligence Officer and of achieving higher, more comprehensive standards of training for all Agency professionals. As every DD/S Staff and Office Chief has been quick to point out, however, CIA employs a very wide variety of "professionals," both generalists and specialists of many kinds, and the Agency recruits them both as juniors and as more experienced, senior personnel. Their requirements for orientation and training, and their need-to-know, must vary accordingly.

We believe, therefore, that for the foreseeable future we should continue to employ JOT's and specialists through separate procedures. I do strongly recommend, however, that wherever feasible, our new professionals should be trained together in the JOT orientation and familiarization courses and in such other JOT basic training courses in which their needs are compatible.

TAB 20

Recommendation: "The DTR establish a JOT Selection Panel composed of line officer representation from the three Deputy Directorates together with appropriate representation from the Office of Personnel and Training. The Chief/JOTP should chair the panel."

I agree with the principle that the Deputy Directorates, through representation, should play a role in the selection of JOT's. I do not, however, believe that it is necessary to establish another, separate, JOT Selection Panel for this purpose. Rather, I would strongly prefer to have thoughtfully selected, experienced representatives of the DD/P, DD/I and DD/S serve rotational tours of duty as training officers on the JOTP staff. Here, as I have set forth in my introductory remarks, these officers can most effectively participate in the JOT selection and placement processes.

TAB 21

Recommendation: "The DTR should give consideration to the feasibility of the use of outstanding public citizens in the panel selection process recommended above."

I cannot concur in this recommendation. May I suggest, however, that selected university consultants do serve the intended purpose, not sitting as a panel to judge individual applicants, but rather providing their viewpoint to broad problems of spotting, selection, recruitment, means of attracting desirable candidates, and so forth. Where this is appropriate, the consultants can and do offer constructive criticism and suggestions for the improvement of JOT orientation and training, particularly in those fields which are not exclusively CIA or "intelligence" in nature.

TAB 22

Recommendation: "The DTR arrange for the participation on a rotational basis of line officer representation from the three Deputy Directorates in JOTP placement panels."

Concur, but I believe that this can be accomplished most effectively and efficiently by Deputy Directorate representation on the JOTP Staff, on a rotational assignment basis, as proposed in Tab 20.

TAB 23

Recommendation: "The DTR together with the Director of Personnel take steps to eliminate prejudices that have arisen which tend to assign second class status to DD/S and DD/I careers."

I do, of course, concur in the need to see to it that the bases for such prejudices are eliminated. The Directors of Training and of Personnel will work together to this end.

It is a long established policy that the JOT's be given a clear, accurate, and unbiased picture of the Agency's mission, organization, and functions and of the mutual interdependence of its parts. But JOT's will form their own preferences regardless of any action we can take, and most JOT's possess a natural preference for service overseas.

One possible source of the prejudice cited by the Inspector General is the fact that most JOT's are to be assigned to one major component. Since only small minorities are to be assigned to the two other major components, and since there is no indication whatsoever of advantages or "eliteness" in being selected for a minority group, there is a natural

~~SECRET~~

TAB 23 (2nd page)

crowd or group tendency to consider the majority group as more important to the Agency. If more JOTs were utilized by DD/I and DD/S, it is believed that this prejudice among the JOTs would probably not come into being. To this end, a more intense effort will be made in the future to select JOTs for careers in DD/I and DD/S.

1 ✓

~~SECRET~~

S E C R E T

Approved For Release 2002/06/10 : CIA-RDP63-00309A000100020021-1

crowd or group tendency to consider the majority group as more important to the Agency. If more JOT's were utilized by DD/I and DD/S, it is believed that this prejudice among the JOT's would probably not come into being.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Approved For Release 2002/06/10 : CIA-RDP63-00309A000100020021-1



TAB 24

Recommendation: "The DD/P establish minimum standards of training and experience for case officer apprenticeship including general preparatory, basic skills, language and advanced operational training, and that he determine the feasibility by experiment of some form of overseas familiarization as a part of the apprentice period."

Concur. The DD/S, particularly OTR, will be glad to assist.

In connection with basic training and with advanced and specialized courses, there has been reflected a mixed opinion as to the length and scheduling of certain courses, as well as to the content and effectiveness of training. Unfortunately, criticism and suggestions for change are not always brought to the attention of the Director of Training so that he may undertake appropriate review and action. It should be understood that all training--and here I am speaking primarily of all OTR-conducted training--is conducted in response to stated requirements from the Deputy Directorates, one or several. And even when a course is proposed by OTR on the initiative of the Director of Training, its content,

S-E-C-R-E-T

length, proposed scheduling (not only dates but full or part-time scheduling) are coordinated with the Directorate whose needs are to be fulfilled. The successful planning and conduct of OTR training is, in fact, a joint effort calling for the continuing interest, participation, and support of the trainees' sponsors--not simply of the Directorates, but the Offices and Divisions. The Director of Training can control by himself the methods and techniques of instruction, but he must rely on the consumers for feedback on the total effectiveness of training, including doctrine.

S-E-C-R-E-T

TAB 25

Recommendation: "The DTR together with the Director of Personnel undertake to monitor the present efforts of the Department of State to improve personnel management and training in the Foreign Service for measures that may be adopted for the Agency's benefit."

Concur. We have been doing this for some time, on a continuing basis.

TAB 26

Recommendation: "The DD/I direct that the recruitment and initial training of junior analysts be accomplished through the JOTP; that increased emphasis be placed by the Agency's recruitment facility on the recruitment of JOT's with academic backgrounds suited to DD/I needs; that ORR and other specialists recruitment be phased down as production of JOT's increases and generally limited to senior analysts at the Ph.D. level."

Concur, with allowance made for recruitment of specialists who need not necessarily meet JOTP standards but whose peculiar knowledge and skills are essential to the DD/I. (Please refer also to TAB 19.)

TAB 27

Recommendation: "The DD/I and the DD/P initiate a test program for the rotation of qualified DD/I professionals to the DD/P to serve as reports officers with part of the tour to be spent overseas, DD/P reports officers in turn to rotate to the DD/I for training and experience in the evaluation and use of intelligence reporting. (A similar recommendation was made in the IG's survey of the Far East Division, DD/P, dated June 1958. The lack of recognizable progress in this important effort impels us to repeat the recommendation here.)"

Concur, with the hope that this will not interfere with rotation of OTR instructors to the DD/P, or DD/I.

S-E-C-R-E-T

TAB 28

Recommendation: "Advanced external training for analysts be phased somewhat later in the career pattern, perhaps after the fifth year of duty, to permit maximum play of JOTP and other intelligence preparation during the apprenticeship period."

Concur.

S-E-C-R-E-T

S-E-C-R-E-T

TAB 29

Recommendation: "The DTR be specifically charged with the responsibility of determining under whose auspices non-OTR training will be conducted and pertinent Agency regulations be amended accordingly."

Concur.

S-E-C-R-E-T

TAB 30

Recommendation: "DTR review the training records of employees in professional categories who resigned from the Agency during FY 1960, or longer if necessary, to determine if a more definitive policy governing external training is required."

Concur.

S-E-C-R-E-T



S-E-C-R-E-T

TAB 31

Recommendation: "The DCI authorize the establishment of senior grade positions for selected Training Officers at the Assistant Director or DD/P Division Chief level with job qualifications designed to ensure the effective performance of proper training functions. "

Concur.

S-E-C-R-E-T

TAB 32

Recommendation: "The DTR initiate an amendment of  which more clearly describes his responsibility to render only advice, guidance and support to the on-the-job training effort."

25X1A

Concur.

S-E-C-R-E-T

TAB 33

Recommendation: "The DD/P study the extent to which it is feasible to develop a greater capability in technical trade-craft operations officers, establish minimum standards of technical training as basic to the required preparation of all operations officers and issue policy accordingly for the guidance of the Technical School TSD."

No comment.

S-E-C-R-E-T

S-E-C-R-E-T

TAB 34

Recommendation: "The DCI authorize and direct the establishment of a mid-career training course for officers at the GS-12 and -13 level in order to prepare them for broader responsibilities particularly in the field of command, to refresh their motivation in the intelligence service and to broaden their understanding of the interrelationship of Agency functions."

Concur. OTR has done some preliminary research and planning for such a course and, in fact, already offers some training in separate, functional courses at this level, such as management and overseas effectiveness training. The Director of Training has been reluctant to push a mid-career course, however, while training is still approached on a permissive basis.

The majority of Office and Staff heads of DD/S have signified their agreement with the need and utility of a mid-career course as envisioned by the IG. If the other Deputy Directorates show a similar interest, and if this course shall be attended on a "planned" basis, I shall request OTR to move ahead with their planning.

S-E-C-R-E-T

S-E-C-R-E-T

I should like to pause here to comment briefly on the present state of management training. OTR now offers three separate scheduled courses in management and supervision, arranged by student grade levels, plus a new senior seminar in management for selected, senior officers of the Agency. In addition, numbers of Agency personnel, especially from the DD/S, have completed external management training of various types in such institutions as the Harvard Business School, University of Chicago, American Management Association, the U. S. Army Management School, the Brookings Institution, and others. We have now reached the point where we can relate the various management training facilities to the varying needs of our employees, and I foresee that we shall continue to take appropriate advantage of these several opportunities.

Nevertheless, I agree that Agency doctrine and problems of command, management, personnel administration, and supervision should be given due weight in the proposed mid-career course.

S-E-C-R-E-T

TAB 35

Recommendation: "The DCI authorize and direct that a senior officer program be established to develop more officers capable of formulating and evaluating comprehensively policy concerned with intelligence in the U. S. Government generally in keeping with the outline described in the IG survey."

I concur that the Agency will benefit from a senior officer training program, but I believe that we must give this recommendation careful and deliberate study. I am not at all sure that we should try to set up a "CIA Senior Officer Course" comparable to that offered at the National War College, for example. First of all, such an undertaking cannot help but be very expensive to administer and to operate, and OTR advises that it does not now have the staff or the facilities for such a course.

The Director of Training points out, too, that OTR already is making very extensive use of the valuable services and time of many of our senior and top-level CIA officials as guest speakers and panelists in existing courses. Finally, we are already accomplishing a considerable amount of training of CIA senior officers at this level in

a. the senior officer colleges of Defense and State,

S-E-C-R-E-T

~~S-E-C-R-E-T~~

CONFIDENTIAL

- b. civilian and military graduate schools of management,
- c. advanced studies in other subject matter areas in colleges and universities in the United States and overseas (especially by DD/I officers), and last
- d. our own, existing senior officer-level courses.

I feel that we can do more in this area, and am confident that there can be worked out a comprehensive program which will meet the general needs of senior executives as well as the peculiar needs of our senior professional specialists.

CONFIDENTIAL

~~S-E-C-R-E-T~~